The Cinema of Attractions Early Film, Its Spectator and the TOM GUNNING Avant-Garde

of the early decades of this century needs to be re-explored. cinema before 1906 displays most intensely. Its inspiration for the avant-garde this harnessing of visibility, this act of showing and exhibition, which I feel to theatre. Its unique power was a 'matter of making images seen'.' It is precisely 'imitating the movements of nature' or in 'the mistaken path' of its resemblance La Roue, Fernand Léger tried to define something of the radical Writing in 1922, flushed with the excitement of seeing Abel Gance's possibilities of the cinema. The potential of the new art did not lie in

work in this area has been pursued in collaboration with André Gaudreault.² this heterogeneity signals a new conception of film history and film form. My before 1906 (or so) bears to the films that follow, and the way a taking account of understood from a number of viewpoints. I want to use it to illuminate a topic I rescuing the cinema from its enslavement to alien and passé forms) can be enslavement to traditional art forms, particularly theatre and literature. This and its possibilities; and disappointment at the way it has already developed, its on the cinema follow a pattern similar to Léger: enthusiasm for this new medium have also approached before, the strangely heterogeneous relation that film fascination with the potential of a medium (and the accompanying fantasy of Writings by the early modernists (Futurists, Dadaists and Surrealists)

makers and the actual forces shaping cinema before 1906. A few observations will indicate the way that early cinema was not dominated by the narrative in discussing his working method: plays is quite different from in traditional narrative film. Méliès himself declared what has sometimes been referred to as the 'Méliès tradition' – the role narrative Cinématographe from film production. But even within non-actuality filming reach' through travel films and topicals did not disappear with the exit of the films until 1906.3 The Lumière tradition of 'placing the world within one's the films copyrighted in the US shows that actuality films outnumbered fictional impulse that later asserted its sway over the medium. First there is the extremely guided, they are one-sided and potentially distort both the work of these filmevolution of narrative editing. Although such approaches are not totally misviewpoint of their contribution to film as a storytelling medium, particularly the been written and theorized under the hegemony of narrative films. Early filmimportant role that actuality film plays in early film production. Investigation of makers like Smith, Méliès and Porter have been studied primarily from the The history of early cinema, like the history of cinema generally, has

> state that the scenario constructed in this manner has no importance, since I use it merely as a pretext for the 'stage effects,' the 'tricks,' or for a nicely arranged tableau. As for the scenario, the 'table,' or tale, I only consider it at the elid. I call

views to an audience, fascinating because of their illusory power (whether the sees cinema less as a way of telling stories than as a way of presenting a series of at least as it is understood today. Rather, one can unite them in a conception that not represent the opposition between narrative and non-narrative film-making, will call this earlier conception of cinema, 'the cinema of attractions'. I believe differs from the primary spectator relations set up by narrative film after 1906. I that the relation to the spectator set up by the films of both Lumière and Méliès magical illusion concocted by Méliès), and exoticism. In other words, I believe realistic illusion of motion offered to the first audiences by Lumière, or the Whatever differences one might find between Lumière and Méliès, they should Griffith, it is not necessarily opposed to it. In fact the cinema of attractions does that this conception dominates cinema until about 1906-7. Although different more evident in some genres (e.g. the musical) than in others. not disappear with the dominance of narrative, but rather goes underground, from the fascination in storytelling exploited by the cinema from the time of (and many other film-makers before 1906) had a common basis, and one that both into certain avant-garde practices and as a component of narrative films

Metz, 5 this is an exhibitionist cinema. An aspect of early cinema which I have bases itself on the quality that Léger celebrated: its ability to show something and gesturing of the conjurors in magic films, this is a cinema that displays its the audience. From comedians smirking at the camera, to the constant bowing camera by actors. This action, which is later perceived as spoiling the realistic cinema of attractions constructs with its spectator: the recurring look at the written about in other articles is emblematic of this different relationship the Contrasted to the voyeuristic aspect of narrative cinema analysed by Christian visibility, willing to rupture a self-enclosed fictional world for a chance to solicit the attention of the spectator. illusion of the cinema, is here undertaken with brio, establishing contact with What precisely is the cinema of attractions? First, it is a cinema that

an important role in early film production (the same Pathé catalogue would erotic hlms often including full nudity), also driven underground in later years advertise the Passion Play along with 'scenes grivoises d'un caractère piquant' As Noël Burch has shown in his film Correction Please: How We Got into Pictures addresses her erotic striptease, winking at us as she faces us, smiling in erotic behind a screen. However, it is to the camera and the audience that the bride diegesis. A woman undresses for bed while her new husband peers at her from between this exhibitionistic tendency of early film and the creation of a fictional (1979). a film like The Bride Retires (France, 1902) reveals a fundamental conflict Exhibitionism becomes literal in the series of erotic films which play

As the quote from Méliès points out, the trick film, perhaps the

57

dominant non-actuality film genre before 1906, is itself a series of displays, of magical attractions, rather than a primitive sketch of narrative continuity. Many trick films are, in effect, plotless, a series of transformations strung together with little connection and certainly no characterization. But to approach even the plotted trick films, such as *Voyage dans la lune* (1902), simply as precursors of later narrative structures is to miss the point. The story simply provides a frame upon which to string a demonstration of the magical possibilities of the cinema.

Modes of exhibition in early cinema also reflect this lack of concern with creating a self-sufficient narrative world upon the screen. As Charles Musser has sown, 6 the early showmen exhibitors exerted a great deal of control over the shows they presented, actually re-editing the films they had purchased and supplying a series of offscreen supplements, such as sound effects and of theatres exclusively showing films before 1906. Not only did the films consist theatre itself was arranged as a train car with a conductor who took tickets, and viewing experiences relate more to the attractions of the fairground than to the gence of the great amusement parks, such as Coney Island, at the turn of the century provides rich ground for rethinking the roots of early cinema.

Nor should we ever forget that in the earliest years of exhibition the

and Hooligan in Jail (1903) consist of a single shot in which the camera is brought device expressive of narrative tension; it is in itself an attraction and the point of close to the main character, until they are in mid-shot. The enlargement is not a ankle for all to see. Biograph films such as Photographing a Female Crook (1904) motive is again pure exhibitionism, as the lady lifts her skirt hem, exposing her Shoe Clerk (1903) may anticipate later continuity techniques, but its principal tion, but as an attraction in its own right. The close-up cut into Porter's The Gay technique precisely because they do not use enlargement for narrative punctuain magic films. Many of the close-ups in early film differ from later uses of the novelty period, this display of the possibilities of cinema continues, and not only premièred, not Le Déjeuner de bébé or The Black Diamond Express. After the initial graph or the Vitascope that were advertised on the variety bills in which they phonograph), rather than to view films. It was the Cinématographe, the Biowake of such widely exhibited machines and marvels as X-rays or, earlier, the machines demonstrated (the newest technological wonder, following in the cinema itself was an attraction. Early audiences went to exhibitions to

To summarise, the cinema of attractions directly solicits spectator attention, inciting visual curiosity, and supplying pleasure through an exciting spectacle — a unique event, whether fictional or documentary, that is of interest in itself. The attraction to be displayed may also be of a cinematic nature, such as the early close-ups just described, or trick films in which a cinematic manipulation (slow motion, reverse motion, substitution, multiple exposure) provides the film's novelty. Fictional situations tend to be restricted to gags, vaudeville

numbers or recreations of shocking or curious incidents (executions, current events). It is the direct address of the audience, in which an attraction is offered to the spectator by a cinema showman, that defines this approach to film making. Theattrical display dominates over narrative absorption, emphasizing the direct stimulation of shock or surprise at the expense of unfolding a story or creating a diegetic universe. The cinema of attractions expends little energy creating characters with psychological motivations or individual personality. Making use of both fictional and non-fictional attractions, its energy moves outward towards an acknowledged spectator rather than inward towards the character-based situations essential to classical narrative.

American Mountains. 12 lairground attraction, the roller coaster, or as it was known then in Russia, the Eisenstein and his friend Yutkevich it primarily represented their favourite the term. Then, as now, the 'attraction' was a term of the fairground, and for However, it is important to realize the context from which Eisenstein selected conscious and oppositional mode of practice will from a popular one). 11 demanded by Eisenstein differs enormously from these early films (as any exhibitionist confrontation rather than diegetic absorption. Of course the spectator that this later avant-garde practice shares with early cinema: that of 'experimentally regulated and mathematically calculated' montage of attractions relation to the spectator entirely different from his absorption in 'illusory subjected the spectator to 'sensual or psychological impact'. According to depictions' 10 I pick up this term partly to underscore the relation to the theatre, Eisenstein hit upon the term 'attraction'. An attraction aggressively analysis for the theatre. In his search for the 'unit of impression' of theatrical art, Eisenstein, theatre should consist of a montage of such attractions, creating a the foundation of an analysis which would undermine realistic representational Mikhailovich Eisenstein and his attempt to find a new model and mode of The term 'attractions' comes, of course, from the young Sergei

The source is significant. The enthusiasm of the early avant-garde for film was at least partly an enthusiasm for a mass culture that was emerging at the beginning of the century, offering a new sort of stimulus for an audience not acculturated to the traditional arts. It is important to take this enthusiasm for popular art as something more than a simple gesture to *épater les bourgeois*. The enormous development of the entertainment industry since the 1910s and its growing acceptance by middle-class culture (and the accommodation that made this acceptance possible) have made it difficult to understand the liberation popular entertainment offered at the beginning of the century. I believe that it was precisely the exhibitionist quality of turn-of-the-century popular art that made it attractive to the avant-garde – its freedom from the creation of a diegesis, its accent on direct stimulation.

Writing of the variety theatre, Marinetti not only praised its aesthetics of astonishment and stimulation, but particularly its creation of a new spectator who contrasts with the 'static', 'stupid voyeur' of traditional theatre. The spectator at the variety theatre feels directly addressed by the spectacle and joins in, singing along, heckling the comedians. ¹³ Dealing with early cinema within

and Eisenstein wished to borrow from the popular arts and inject into the an unhealthy nervousness. It was precisely such artificial stimulus that Marinetti theatre, organizing popular energy for radical purpose. day in a crowded city, according to this middle-class reform group, stimulating narrative. A night at the variety theatre was like a ride on a streetcar or an active having no necessary and as a rule, no actual connection. 14 In other words, no an artificial rather than a natural human and developing interest, these acts cheap vaudeville acts. It was precisely this non-narrative variety that placed this sandwiched in with farces, actualities, 'illustrated songs', and, quite frequently, acts in a non-narrative and even nearly illogical succession of performances one attraction on the vaudeville programme, surrounded by a mass of unrelated the context of archive and academy, we risk missing its vital relation to vaudeville, its primary place of exhibition until around 1905. Film appeared as Russell Sage Survey of popular entertainments found vaudeville 'depends upon form of entertainment under attack by reform groups in the early 1910s. The period, these short films always appeared in a variety format, trick films Even when presented in the nickelodeons that were emerging at the end of this

expression, entries into the psychology of character and the world of fiction. enclosed diegetic universe. The look at the camera becomes taboo and the devices of cinema are transformed from playful 'tricks' - cinematic attractions bound cinematic signifiers to the narration of stories and the creation of a selfclearly took the legitimate theatre as its model, producing famous players in (Méliès gesturing at us to watch the lady vanish) - to elements of dramatic tamous plays. The transformation of filmic discourse that D. W. Griffith typifies the appearance of feature films which radically revised the variety format. Film to about 1913 represents the true narrativization of the cinema, culminating in What happened to the cinema of attractions? The period from 1907

narrative feature of the evening), the system of attraction remains an essentia and sometimes vaudeville acts subordinated to, but still coexisting with, the part of popular film-making. palaces of the 20s (with newsreel, cartoon, sing-along, orchestra performance entertainment. Just as the variety format in some sense survived in the movie with narrative strangling the nascent possibilities of a young iconoclastic form of However, it would be too easy to see this as a Cain and Abel story,

aware of this, since they offered their plagiarized version of this Biograph film stream) that slows them down for the spectator, providing a mini-spectacle in each shot, they encounter some slight obstacle (a fence, a steep slope, a same time, as the group of young women pursue their prey towards the camera shows the creation of a narrative linearity, as the French nobleman runs for his pause in the unfolding of narrative. The Edison Company seemed particularly A film like Biograph's Personal (1904, the model for the chase film in many ways) providing a model for causality and linearity as well as a basic editing continuity underway. The chase had been the original truly narrative genre of the cinema. from 1903 to 1906), a synthesis of attractions and narrative was already life from the fiancées his personal column ad has unleashed. However, at the The chase film shows how, towards the end of this period (basically

> incident or narrative closure. 15 image of the ladies chasing the man could be bought without the inciting Columns) in two forms, as a complete film or as separate shots, so that any one (How a French Nobleman Got a Wife Through the New York Herald 'Personal

and the development of narrative. 17 Likewise, the traditional spectacle film theatre with a timetable announcing the moment of its prime attractions: along with narrative. The 1924 version of Ben Hur was in fact shown at a Boston proved true to its name by highlighting moments of pure visual stimulation shown the way slapstick did a balancing act between the pure spectacle of gag Donald Crafton in his study of slapstick comedy, 'The pie and the chase', has between spectacle and narrative has fuelled much of the classical cinema. 16 As Laura Mulvey has shown in a very different context, the dialectic

8.59 Fall of the House of Hur 8.40 Jerusalem Restored 8.35 The Star of Bethlehem 10.29 The Last Supper 10.50 Reunion¹⁸

emblazoned with the command, 'See!' shows this primal power of the attraction running beneath the armature of narrative regulation. The Hollywood advertising policy of enumerating the features of a film, each

spectacle cinema has realfirmed its roots in stimulus and carnival rides, in what continuity. This is early film's ambiguous heritage. Clearly in some sense recent outlaw unloading his pistol in our faces), and towards a linear narrative directions, towards a direct assault on the spectator (the spectacularly enlarged and too ahistorical. A film like The Great Train Robbery (1903) does point in both sion of early cinema began. But it is important for the radical heterogeneity which might be called the Spielberg-Lucas-Coppola cinema of effects. irreconcilable with the growth of narrative cinema. This view is too sentimental find in early cinema not to be conceived as a truly oppositional programme, one We seem far from the avant-garde premises with which this discus

current can be traced from Méliès through Keaton, through Un Chien andalou cinema in which the tradition of contemplative subjectivity has perhaps run its and intensification to fulfil its revolutionary possibilities. Both Eisenstein and (1928), and Jack Smith Coney Island of the avant-garde, whose never dominant but always sensed the methods of popular entertainment, still provide an unexhausted resource – a (often glorious) course, it is possible that this earlier carnival of the cinema, and constructs its spectator in a new way. Now in a period of American avant-garde in film history implies a change in its address to the spectator, and each period performance) and Eisenstein setting firecrackers off beneath them. Every change ing to literally glue them to their seats (ruined garments paid for after the Marinetti planned to exaggerate the impact on the spectators, Marinetti proposstood that they were tapping into a source of energy that would need focusing But effects are tamed attractions. Marinetti and Eisenstein under-

6

First published in Wide Angle vol. 8 no. 3/4, Fall 1986

- in Edward Fry (ed.), Functions of Painting, trans. Alexandra Anderson (New York: Viking Fernand Léger, 'A critical essay on the plastic qualities of Abel Gance's film The Wheel', Press, 1973), p. 21.
- See my articles 'The non-continuous style of early film', in Roger Holman (ed.), Cinema collaborative paper delivered by A. Gaudreault at the conference at Cerisy on Film Griffith (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1983), pp. 355-66, and our early film and its relation to American avant garde film' in John L. Fell (ed.), Film Before hope to investigate further the history and archaeology of the film spectator. History (August 1985) 'Le cinéma des premiers temps: un défi à l'histoire du cinéma?'. I would also like to note the importance of my discussions with Adam Simon and our 1900-1906 (Brussels: FIAF, 1982), and 'An unseen energy swallows space: the space in
- Robert C. Allen, Vaudeville and Film: 1895-1915, A Study in Media Interaction (New York: Arno Press, 1980), pp. 159, 212-13.
- 4. Méliès, 'Importance du scénario', in Georges Sadoul, Georges Méliès (Paris: Seghers 1961), p. 118 (my translation)
- Metz, The Imaginary Signifier: Psychoanalysis and the Cinema, trans. Celia Britton Press, 1982), particularly pp. 58-80, 91-7. Annwyl Williams, Ben Brewster and Alfred Guzzetti (Bloomington: Indiana University
- Ò Musser, 'American Vitagraph 1897-1901', Cinema Journal, vol. 22 no. 3, Spring 1983
- Raymond Fielding, 'Hale's tours: Ultrarealism in the pre-1910 motion picture', in Fell Film Before Griffith, pp. 116-30.
- œ I wish to thank Ben Brewster for his comments after the original delivery of this paper which pointed out the importance of including this aspect of the cinema of attractions
- 9 Eisenstein, 'How I became a film director', in Notes of a Film Director (Moscow: Foreign Language Publishing House, n.d.), p. 16.
- 10. 'The montage of attractions', in S. M. Eisenstein, Writings 1922-1934, edited by Richard Taylor (London: BFI, 1988), p. 35.
- Yon Barna, Eisenstein (Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 1973), p. 59
- 13. 'The variety theater 1913' in Umbro Apollonio (ed.), Futurist Manifestos (New York: Viking Press, 1973), p. 127.
- 14. Michael Davis, The Exploitation of Pleasure (New York: Russell Sage Foundation, Dept David Levy, 'Edison sales policy and the continuous action film 1904-1906', in Fell of Child Hygiene, Pamphlet, 1911)

15.

- 16. 'Visual pleasure and narrative cinema', in Laura Mulvey, Visual and Other Pleasures Film Before Griffith, pp. 207-22 (London: Macmillan, 1989)
- Paper delivered at the FIAF Conference on Slapstick, May 1985, New York City
- 18 Nicholas Vardac, From Stage to Screen: Theatrical Method from Garrick to Griffith (New York: Benjamin Blom, 1968), p. 232.

DAI VAUGHAN Let There Be Lumière

like pondering what happened to the universe in the first few microseconds after the big bang. at those programmes of one-minute scenes first publicly exhibited in Paris in December 1895, and in London the following February – is To look critically and sympathetically at the beginnings of cinema –

graphic analysis of animal movement by Marey and Muybridge, the public of film narrative methods in comic strip and lantern slide sequence, cinema did to a singularity. Before then, notwithstanding such precedents as the photoa real train pulling into a real station. signals present in that film had had no previous existence other than as signifying surface. What this legend means is that the particular combination of visual may assure ourselves that all it requires is a simple stride on to an immobile flat run them down. It must have been a reaction similar to that which prevents us towards them into a station. We cannot seriously imagine that these educated tells how members of the first audiences dodged aside as a train steamed not exist. A story so frequently repeated as to have assumed the status of folklore projection of animated drawings in Reynaud's Théâtre Optique or anticipations these shows mounted by the Lumière brothers represent the nearest we will find trom stepping with unconcern on to a static escalator, no matter how firmly we people in Paris and London expected the train to emerge from the screen and We need not doubt that, so far as the genesis of film art is concerned

objectivity by the media? and those who do not; between those granted subjectivity and those held in television experience, between those who wield the power of communication doffing of headgear. Do we not see here that distinction, much a part of our the members disembarking from a river-boat for the Congress of Photographic Factory, lew of whom return our gaze with even a glance from the screen, with prophetic of some we know today. Compare the Workers Leaving the Lumière Societies at Neuville-sur-Saône, who greet the camera with much waving and Yet already, in this primitive world, we find structures tantalisingly

must be made of glass and conceal a tank of water. While we may allow this to with A Boat Leaving Harbour; and we are told that visitors came forward after the to the BFI's sound version of the first British Lumière programme. This ended pass as a measure of the wonderment caused by the first cinematographic performance to poke at the screen with their walking sticks, convinced that it the earliest viewers. A curious example is offered in Stanley Reed's commentary Perhaps we should examine more closely the recorded responses of